

RECEIVED
MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAN 17 2017

FILED _____
DOCKETED _____
DATE _____
INITIAL _____

GREG Hawe 9th Cir. Case No. 16-56906
Appellant,

Originating Court Case No. D.C NO. 2:14-cv
- 01250-CAS-MRW

vs.

ANTHONY VALKANAS
Appellee(s). DAVISON DESIGN
& DEVELOPMENT INC.

APPELLANT'S INFORMAL BRIEF
(attach additional sheets as necessary)

1. Jurisdiction

a. Timeliness of Appeal:

- (i) Date of entry of judgment or order of originating court: I DON'T UNDERSTAND
- (ii) Date of service of any motion made after judgment (other than for fees and costs): I DON'T UNDERSTAND
- (iii) Date of entry of order deciding motion: I DON'T UNDERSTAND
- (iv) Date notice of appeal filed: JANUARY 10/17
- (v) For prisoners, date you gave notice of appeal to prison authorities: N/A

9th Cir. Case No. 16-56906

Page 2

2. What are the facts of your case?

SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS
DATED DECEMBER 10/16 ~~THAT WORKS~~ REJECTED
BY THE LOS ANGELES APPEALS COURT

9th Cir. Case No. 16-56906

Page 3

3. What did you ask the originating court to do (for example, award damages, give injunctive relief, etc.)?

I ASKED THE COURT TO HAVE THIS TRIAL REASSIGNED TO BUFFALO, WHERE I LIVED NEARBY IN CANANDA AND THE MAJOR WITNESS LIVES, AND THIS ACTION I FIRED MY LAWYER FOR SLOWING WITH THE OTHER LAWYER TO FORCE ME TO SIGN A MUTUAL RELEASE AND FORCE ME TO GO BANKRUPT.

(SEE ATTACHED FORMS DATED DECEMBER 10/16)

4. State the claim or claims you raised at the originating court.

I AM INNOCENT OF BEING VALKANAS VICTIM. I ONCE ~~HE~~ ASKED ME IF VALKANAS HAD ANYTHING TO DO WITH A MURDER. MY RESPONSE WAS: "THAT MURDER TAKING WAS A SUSPECT IN A DOUBLE HOMICIDE."

5. What issues are you raising on appeal? What do you think the originating court did wrong?

(SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS)

(DATED DECEMBER 10/16)

I WAS NOT ABLE TO GO BACK TO LOS ANGELES, AND MY LAWYER DENIED ME AND MY WITNESSES TO TESTIFY FROM BUFFALO

9th Cir. Case No.

16-56906

Page 4

6. Did you present all issues listed in #5 to the originating court?

Yes

If not, why not?

Yes/No

I PROVIDED ALL THIS TO THE COURT,
I DO NOT KNOW WHAT HE DID BECAUSE
I DO NOT HAVE ANY OF THE COURT
DOCUMENTS, AND I AM ASKING THE
Court FOR THESE DOCUMENTS SO I CAN
CONTINUE FURTHER.

7. What law supports these issues on appeal?

(You may, but need not, refer to cases and statutes.)

"SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS
DATED DECEMBER 10/16

I DO NOT UNDERSTAND THE
QUESTION BECAUSE I AM
CANADIAN.

9th Cir. Case No.

16-56906

Page 5

8. Do you have any other cases pending in this court? If so, give the name and docket number of each case.

NO

9. Have you filed any previous cases which have been decided by this court? If so, give the name and docket number of each case.

NO

10. For prisoners, did you exhaust all administrative remedies for each claim prior to filing your complaint in the district court?

N/A


GREG HOWE

Name

3 CARNCASTLE ST
ST CATHARINES ONT
CANADA L2N 5V4

Address

Signature



Date

JANUARY 9/16

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

DEC 29 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NEW SHOW STUDIOS, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company;
ANTHONY VALKANAS, an
individual; DAVISON DESIGN &
DEVELOPMENT, INC., a
Pennsylvania corporation,

Plaintiffs - Appellees,

v.

GREG HOWE, an individual; DOES,
1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants - Appellants.

No. 16-56906

D.C. No. 2:14-cv-01250-CAS-MRW
U.S. District Court for Central
California, Los Angeles

TIME SCHEDULE ORDER

The parties shall meet the following time schedule.

If there were reported hearings, the parties shall designate and, if necessary, cross-designate the transcripts pursuant to 9th Cir. R. 10-3.1. If there were no reported hearings, the transcript deadlines do not apply.

Mon., January 23, 2017 Transcript shall be ordered.

Mon., April 24, 2017 Transcript shall be filed by court reporter.

Mon., June 5, 2017 Appellant's opening brief and excerpts of record
shall be served and filed pursuant to FRAP 32 and
9th Cir. R. 32-1.

CIRCUIT RULE 25-2

COMMUNICATIONS TO THE COURT

All communications to the court, including papers to be filed, shall comply with FRAP 32 and shall be addressed to the Clerk at the United States Court of Appeals,

Post Office Box 193939

San Francisco, California 94119-3939

When it is intended that a communication come to the personal attention of a judge or judges, sufficient copies, not including the original, shall be supplied to the Clerk so that the Clerk can furnish a copy to each judge.

Cross Reference: Circuit Rules 27-1, 27-2, 27-3 and 27-6, Motions practice; Introduction, Pages xvii-xxi.